den nd ad.

14em ou ok,

en.

it,

301 co-

I DO.

re

13

hy

W

nd

it

3.) UE

on of

ng nh

8

le

11

of I-

Ft

h

e-

r-

in

V

REHEARSAL

1. Mr. Lauder's Book fo fully Answer'd, that the Review will let us see no more of it.

2. His Answer as to the Fore'd Consent of Pharaoh to let Ifrael go.

3. His Parallel betwixt Pharaoh and King James. Wherein he Blackens the Revolution.

4. Why the Ifrael-Jacobites did not Return, when Pharaoh Pursu'd them, as the Review asks.

s. He has not yet so much as Offer'd to Justify his Bold Affertion, yet Boasts of Victory!

6. He makes a Merry Tale of the Commands of God, and Objects Thieving and Cheating to the Almighty!

7. The Ifraelites had no Intention of Defrauding the Egyptians of the Goods and Jewels they Borrow'd from them.

SATURDAY, March 20th. 1707.

(1.) Country-man. F you had not Accepted of Mr. Review's Offer to Lend you Mr. Lauder's Lend you Mr. Lauder's Book, of which he fo much Boafted, he might have faid you were Afraid, and Durst not Look into so Terrible a Learned Piece which you cou'd not Answer. But since he has made fo much Ceremony of letting you fee it, we may suppose he has some other

Reason for keeping up his Bear.
Rehearsal. Let him make a Kirk and a Mill of it— He knows what that means. But we may know Hercules by his Foot. He has told Us the Contents of it, That Bishop and Presbyter are the same thing, and Consequently that the first Episcopal Church was Presbyterian. Which is that poor Logomachy or Playing with Words I took Notice of at my Entring upon that Subject in the Beginning of this Volume. For I knew they had no more to fay, and must Recurr to this when ever they were Pinch'd. And now you fee I have Guess'd right. If ther be any thing else in Mr. Lauder's Book, Mr. Review may may let us know it, without the Difficulties he has Propos'd as to the Safe Return of his Book, &c.

Country-m. No doubt he has found this out, fince he will not give you leave to find it out for him. For you told him this before, and he has not Deny'd it, or faid any thing in Answer to it. So that we may Conclude you have already fully Answer'd Mr. Lander's Book.

fter. You had Observ'd, among other Instances of Fassive Obedience, That God wou'd not Permit the Israelites to go out of Egypt (tho Grievously Oppres'd, and in most Bit-ter Servitude) till their King gave them his Leave to Go. Till when God wou'd not fuffer them to Attempt their own Rescue by Force or taking Arms against their Lawfull King, tho' a Bloody Tyrant; and Notwithstanding they were 600 Thousand Men, whick was sufficient to have made a Rebellion in any Kingdom of the World.

To this the Review fays That Pharaoh did indeed Confent. But how? fays he, Was it a Willing and a Free Consent? Or was he Forc'd to it? He says, If Pharach Consented to let Ifrael go, King James Consented to Abdicate the Crown; and it will be bard to Prove any Violence in the World but what has the fame Confent.

(3.) Rehearf. I cannot make him leave off Blackning the Revolution. He will have the Abdication to be a Force and a Violence, that he may Found the Revolution upon the Deposing Doctrin, and make it as Odious as the 30th of January, to Justify his Dry and Wes Mar-syrdom! Of which, and his Defence of it, I have spoke sufficiently already:

But now as to his Parallel betwixt Pharash and King James, he cannot make their Cases alike, even the' I shou'd Grant him his Supposition (which I desire him to Remember I do only for Argument fake, as I did last Saturday when he wou'd make me a Jacobite) Suppose then I say, but no ways Granting, That either a Foraign Force, or (2.) But ther is something in the Review | Jacobite) Suppose then I say, but no ways of the 4th Instant which looks like Argument, and seems an Answer to yours, Mathe Desertion of his own Subjetts did Force

King James to Confent, and to Chuse an Abdication, yet this will not be a Like Cafe to that of Pharaoh, whom God took into his own hand, and Subdu'd him with Plagues fent Immediatly from Heaven, without the Intervention of Dutch Troops, or any Act of his own Subjects. Had King James been Chas'd out of England by Thunder and Lightning, and his Subjects had Stood Still, as the Ifraelites did, to fee the Salvation of God then, they had been Compeliate of God, then ther had been fomething of Parallel in the Cafe.

(4) Country m. But the Review says Why did not the Ifraelies, like Passive Obedience Jacobites, when Pharaoh pursu'd them, and they found it was against his Royal Consent that they were going away, why did they not tamely lay down their Arms, and go Back again?

Rehears. Because God Commanded them Renearly. Because God Commanded them to Go forward, and to Pitch in fuch a Place. And there, not to Fight, but to Stand fill, and fee the Salvation of God. Which Salvation was Wrought for them by God Himfelf immediatly, not by them own Arms, or God's permitting them to make any use of them against their King, tho' Coming with a full Intention to Defiroy them.

(5.) Country-m. The next Words in the Review are an Exultation and a Triumph tor his having fo Cleverly Answer'd this Argument of yours about Pharaoh and says of it Infolingly, This is the Powerful Argument to Support Tyranny and Oppression and

indeed it wants such Assistance very Much,
Rehears. I leave it to the Reader to
Judge what Cause he had for this Vapour! And whether he has yet found out an Instance of God's Allowing Subjects to take Arms against their Soveraigns, which Mr. Review Asserted to be the Constant Practice of God in all Ages. This I deny'd, and faid it was so far from Truth, that the Quite Contrary was the Truth. And I put it upon Mr. Review to give any one Instance in any Age to Justyfy his Affertion, which he has not yet done. Yet fee how he Bonnes of Victory! I brought this Instance of Pharaob (among others) to shew the Quite Contrary Method of God, who tho' He has permitted his People, for their Sins to be Evil Entreated through Tyrants, yet gave He not them the Liberty to Deliver themselves, of their own heads by taking Arms against their Princes. And you have seen how Notably the Review has overthrown this Instance of Pharach! Tho' if he had done it as much as he has Boasted, yet it wou'd still Remain upon him to shew wher God did Approve of Subjects taking Arms against their Princes. For he has forgot that this is the Question, and what he did Affert, but has not Prov'd, or so much as Offer'd at it. Yet Glories of his Conquest

(6.) Country-m. He thinks it Proof enough . to Call this a Merry Tale. For is not that Despising it, as if ther was Nothing in it? He says, in Answer to you,

"Is it not a Merry Tale to tell Us, " that GOD won'd not let the Children of Ifrael go out of Egype, till they had Pharash's Conlent; and from thence to prove, that ther is no Resisting against a Crown'd Authority? Can it not as well be prov'd by the fame Rule, that "Thieving or Cheating is Lawful because God commanded the Israelius to borrow their Egyptian Neighbours fine things, Jewels &c. and then run away with them?

10

2.

2.

3. ii

1

(1:)

fter,

Inft

taki

has God

ple in h

you.

a t

" E

« la

u al

« ti

" P

(Hea

Hea that

time

Chri

then The agai

C

fror

He

the

not

Kin

Rehearf. If he cou'd fhew the like Command from God for the Ifraclies taking Arms against Pharaob, as for their borrowing the Jewels &c. it had been as Lawful for them to have done the One as the other. And has not God Power to Dispose of our Goods as well as of our Lives? But Mr. Review REVERENTLY calls the Command of GOD Thieving and Cheating! And is not Pleas'd that God who wou'd not Suffer the Israelits to Invade the Prerogative of their Frince, shou'd make so free with the Property of the Subject; but gave them Leave to Spoil the Egyptians, and yet not to lift up a Hand against Pharaoh, who was (7.) Country-m. But bateing the Command

of God, was it not Cheating in the Ifraelite to Borrow Goods of their Neighbours, with Intention not to Restore them?

Rebears. No fuch Intention do's Appear. For all that Moses ask'd was To go Three Days Journey into the Wilderness to Sacrifice unto the Lord their God. And we have no Reason to believe that Moses or the Ifraelis knew more at that time. Nor wou'd the Egyptians so freely have lent their Jewels &c. if they had thought the Israelits were never to Return. But God having miraculoufly Destroy'd the Egyptians, Commanded the Israelits to go forward, and to Return no more into Egypt. And this in Effect prov'd a Spoiling the Egyptians, but without any fuch Intention in the Ifraclits when they Borrow'd thefe things.

ADVERTISEMENT.

Vindication of a Discourse entituled, The Principles of the Cyprianic Age, with regard to Epucopal Power and Jurisdiction: Wherein besides a great many things more briefly Consider'd, The usefulness of fixing the Principles of the Cyprianic Age is successful. having the Principles of the Cyprianic Age is ducinctly Reprefented; The main Controversie between those of the Church and the Presbyterians is fully and distinctly stated; Their main Subterfuges are utterly overthrown; Large Supplements are added to the Principles of the Cyprianic Age; The Cyprianic Episcopacy is shewn to be inconsistent with a Papacy; And it is Demonstrated that Episcopal Government was universally delivered to be of Divine Right in the Days of St. Cyprian. With a Preface by the Author of the short method with the Deifts.